

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER POR PATENTS PO Box (430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.opto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/647,262	08/26/2003	Brian Harden	280/016 DC	2074	
	7590 09/09/200 TICS CORPORATION	EXAM	EXAMINER		
C/O LEE & MORSE, P.C. 3141 FAIRVIEW PARK DRIVE, SUITE 500 FALLS CHURCH. VA 22042			VARGOT, M	VARGOT, MATHIEU D	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		1791		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/09/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/647,262	HARDEN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Mathieu D. Vargot	1791	

	Mathieu D. Vargot	1791				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 25 August 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.				
. M The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:						
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07f	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1,136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of exhauster. A variety of the properties of the support of the superior of the superior of the superior set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1,704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as			
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compifiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the				
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) hey raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belov	sideration and/or search (see NOT		cause			
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a c			ne issues for			
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).						
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).			
 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 						
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) I how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proving the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		be entered and an e	xplanation of			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	and/or appellant faile e 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a			
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	or the status of the claims after er	itry is below or attach	BU.			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:			
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement(s)</i> . (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).					
	/Mathieu D. Vargot/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 1791				

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that the combination would destroy the primary reference for fits intended purpose. However, it has been noted that the secondary reference is being relied upon to teach formation of multiple optical elements on a substrate and then separating these into individual elements. Hence, applicant's comments are not in point. It has not been set forth that a single grating would be cut into different sections so that the grating would be inoperable. Instead, the formation of multiple gratings in one step with the subsequent separation of these into individual, discrete elements would have been obvious in the primary reference based on the teaching of the secondary reference. Clearly, one would not modify a reference to make it inoperable and such has never been proposed as being obvious.